

ISW



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being  
deposited with the United States Postal Service  
as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:  
Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, on July 7, 2007  
(Date of Deposit)  
Elliott N. Kramsky Reg. No. 27,812  
Name of applicant, assignee, or Registered Rep.  
Elliott N. Kramsky July 7, 2007  
Signature Date

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	:	
SVEN VOIGT et al.	:	Examiner:
Serial No. 10/529,707	:	Iyabo Alli
Filed: March 29, 2005	:	
For: METHOD FOR REGULATING THE	:	
OPERATING FREQUENCY AND	:	Art Unit 2112
MULTIFUNCTIONAL INTEGRATED	:	
CIRCUIT CHIP OF A FIBER OPTIC:	:	
GYROSCOPE	:	

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Dear Sir:

In response to the Interview Summary mailed July 2,  
2007, the following remarks are submitted.

REMARKS

Applicants' Attorney concurs in the Interview Summary  
prepared by the Examiner documenting the telephonic interview  
conducted June 28, 2007 (begun June 26, 2007). Such interview

was supplemented by the submission of a proposed Amendment stating the Applicants' position with regard to the inappropriateness of the newly-cited Spahlinger reference as prior art and included a discussion of the appropriateness of filing an amendment along the lines of the proposed response after final rejection. On June 27, 2007, the Examiner left a voice mail message indicating that a response along the lines of the proposed Amendment would not require the filing of a Request for Continued Examination while, on June 28, 2007 the Examiner left a further voice mail message stating the substance of the Interview Summary.

The present Response is submitted to supplement an earlier-filed response to the office action titled Request to Withdraw Reference and Rejection of Claims mailed First Class mail with certificate of mailing on June 26, 2007. Such Request essentially states the same arguments outlined in the proposed Amendment that was faxed to the Examiner and which is attached to the Interview Summary.

Respectfully submitted,



Elliott N. Kramsky  
Registration 27,812  
Attorney for Applicants